

COURTS IN LA PAZ COUNTY

LOCAL INITIATIVES, DRIVERS, AND PRESSURES

- Pursue paperless court operations and automated records retention; implement EDMS throughout limited jurisdiction court environment; standardize e-forms; enable e-signature.
- Increase ease of ad hoc reporting from statewide automation systems; participate in 2FID.
- Enable transfers of electronic records among courts and implement court security standards.
- Increase public access to historical court records through digitization.
- Add a court interpreter on staff and address LEP participants' needs.

CY 2015/16 COURT ACCOMPLISHMENTS

- Made self-service center more accessible for superior court users.
- Installed audiovisual system in Courtroom A, pursuing same approach for Courtroom B.
- Cleaned superior court data using existing AJACS reports.
- Installed wireless routers and enabled court-to-court video conference communication.
- Limited jurisdiction courts began destruction of files beyond retention; migrated to nCourt for payments; installed printers on bench for IA forms.

STATEWIDE PROJECTS: IMPACTS AND PARTICIPATION PLANS

LJ CMS	Desire all courts to use same API for better recordkeeping; will be mid-cycle adopters.
JOLTZaz	Request simplified report generation within JOLTZaz rather than requiring Crystal; will be a mid-cycle or late adopter.
e-Filing/Std Forms	Concerned about bandwidth and infrastructure requirements, especially at most remote courts; will be mid-cycle adopter.
Bench Automation	Superior Court willing to be early adopter.
LJ Case Worksheet	New, no input this year.

RISKS AND CONCERNS (SECURITY AND ARCHITECTURE)

- Don't perform local development; a couple items are in containment status.
- Still have FTR PCs needing Windows 10 upgrade; Clerk needs 1-507 approval to go paperless.

TECHNOLOGY PROJECTS

Project	Year/Status	Project Detail Provided			Comments
		Full ¹	Skeletal ²	Mention ³	
Paperless Courts / e-Courts	FY20		X		All courts
e-Signature	FY19		X		All courts; planned
Electronic Transfer of Case Records	FY19		X		AOC & all courts; C2C & EDMS enhancements
Scan/Microfilm Closed Cases	FY18		X		Superior Court Clerk
Simplify Report Generation	FY19		X		All courts; requires code and data cleanup
AZTEC to AJACS Conversion	FY18			X	LJ Courts; AOC
Video Interpreting	FY19		X		All Courts
Jury System Upgrade	FY20		X		Superior Court; automated noticing
2FID	FY18			X	Superior Court; AOC; ACJC
Court Security	FY21		X		All Courts; implement standards
Automated Telephone System	FY20		X		LJ Courts; IVRU
Audio/Visual System Upgrade	FY19		X		Superior Court; Courtroom B

Note 1:

An “X” in “Full” indicates that the court has provided full detailed information about the project according to the general parameters outlined in the Commission on Technology’s Project Management Methodology. Also, risk analysis, impact, project costs and funding information has been provided.

Note 2:

An “X” in “Skeletal” indicates that the court provided detail about the local project in the master projects listing spreadsheet. Complete information, usually risks, impact analysis, project costs and funding, was not provided.

Note 3:

An “X” in “Mention” indicates that the court mentioned this project in a summary or listed it in an initiative. It may have been a phrase or a full paragraph of description, but did not contain detailed project-oriented information. If these projects are related to pursuing standards or directions already adopted (e.g., OnBase EDMS implementation, Jury+ upgrade, digital audio in the courtroom), then any mention includes appropriate funding information is sufficient.